

Community Impact Assessment: Summary

1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed:

Health and Wellbeing Communities Funding

2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria?

1. The primary aim of the Health and Wellbeing Communities Funding is to support the prevention and/or delay of people needing to access formal care packages and statutory support, and where people already have formal care and support, prevent or delay the need for this to increase. By intervening early and a supporting low level community activity, the aim is to ultimately reduce adult social care expenditure, and ensure people receive the right level of support at the right stage. The aim of the programme would be to stimulate residents into action and to develop initiatives supporting the local communities and utilise the funding available to develop new sustainable initiatives but not provide ongoing funding for service provision. Targeted funding can also be achieved by officers working with local wards/communities to ensure areas which have specific needs can work together and access the "central" pot to deliver services purchased on a broader basis but delivered within specific localities.

3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment:

Catherine McGovern

Commissioning Manager, Adults Commissioning Team

4. Have any impacts been Identified? (Yes/No)	Community of Identity affected:	Summary of impact: We anticipate positive impacts for older				
Detailed impacts not identified at this stage	Disability	and vulnerable adults in York.				
5. Date CIA completed: 7.10.15 Updated 29.04.2016						
6. Signed off by: Catherine McGovern						
7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact						

assessed.

ANNEX A

Name: Gary Brittain Position: Commissioning and Con Date: 8.10.15 & 29.04.2016	ntracts Manager						
8. Decision-making body: Date: Decision Details:							
Send the completed signed off document to <u>ciasubmission@york.gov.uk</u> It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website.							
Actions arising from the Assess	sments will be log	gged on Verto and progress					



Community Impact Assessment (CIA)

Community Impact Assessment Title:	Health & Wellbeing Communities Funding
------------------------------------	--

What evidence is available to suggest that the proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or no (None) effect on quality of life outcomes? (Refer to guidance for further details)

Can negative impacts be justified? For example: improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement duties; taking positive action to address imbalances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g. older people. NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

Community of Identity: Age					
Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		
The funding will be available for initiatives that support older people and vulnerable adults of all ages.	Potentially: - Access to Services - Health	Ρ	None		

Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date
The funding is available for vulnerable people of all age groups, but older people are one of the key identified groups, so it is anticipated there will be a particularly positive impact for this group	N/A	N/A	Catherine McGovern	March 2016

Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People				
Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
We anticipate this will have a positive impact for carers because we have identified support for carers as one of our priority areas for use of this funding	Potentially: - Access to Services - Health	Ρ	None	

Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date
It is not possible to identify clear details of the impact at this stage, until we have finalised information about projects we will be funding, but we aim to ensure these provide a range of additional emotional and practical support for carers, including potential use of technology options to support carers.	N/A	Ensure that chosen initiatives can demonstrate additional support for carers	Catherine McGovern	March 2016

Community of Identity: Disability					
Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		
We judge this will have a positive impact for disabled people because the primary purpose of this funding is to increase the community based support for older people and vulnerable people of all ages, including those with a physical and/or learning disability, and/or people with a mental health problem.	Potentially: - Access to Services - Health	Ρ	None		

Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date
Availability of increased community and voluntary support		 Ensure we choose a range of preventative initiatives that recognise the needs of the different client groups. Monitor all projects (proportionately) to ensure they are having a positive impact on older and vulnerable people. Involve older and vulnerable people in decisions regarding the funding. 	Catherine McGovern	March 2016

Community of Identity: Gender					
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
Not applicable – the initiatives we fund would be available for all		N/A	None	None	
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date	
None	N/A	N/A			

Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment					
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date	
N/A	N/A	N/A			

Community of Identity: Marriage & Civil Partnership					
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date	
N/A	N/A	N/A			

Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity						
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		
Not applicable		N/A	N/A	N/A		
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date		
N/A	N/A	N/A				

Community of Identity: Race					
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
Not applicable – initiatives funded would be available for all		N/A	N/A	N/A	
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date	
N/A	N/A	Ensure initiatives are accessible to all, and seek to actively engage and meet the needs of BME communities			

Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief					
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
Not applicable – initiatives would accessible for all		N/A	N/A	N/A	
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date	
N/A	N/A	N/A			

Community of Identity: Sexual Orientation					
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
Not applicable – initiatives would be available for all		N/A	N/A	N/A	
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date	
N/A	N/A	N/A			